BOOS Annual Meeting 2016

Thursday, 19th May 2016, Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Adoption of Agenda

The Agenda was adopted with the addition of the election of BOOS Modelling Programme Chair

3. Appointment of rapporteur

Piotr Piotrowski was appointed the rapporteur

4. BOOS Chairman Report

Status of decisions from BOOS 2015 AM:

- a) New agreement
- b) BOOS web page the need to replace the web site
- c) New BOOS logo
- d) Newsletter MSI can no longer keep it running, BSH has facilities to print the newsletter
- e) New BOOS strategy requires more work
- f) Election of STG members
- g) Status of work plan 2014-2016
- h) Information from STG meeting in January
- Status of organization and membership how many BOOS members are EuroGOOS members, members of BOOS Modelling Programme
- j) Status of contribution to HELCOM work
 - i. HELCOM online platform on research vessels
 - ii. Draft of HELCOM Recommendation on autonomous devices submitted to HELCOM, but not accepted
 - iii. New task: revision of the HELCOM Recommendation 10/1 on abnormal situations
- k) BOOS Strategy 2016-2020 status:
 - i. Service development
 - ii. In-situ observations (including gliders)
 - iii. Satellite remote sensing
 - iv. Forecasting system
 - v. Communication and promotion
 - vi. Research and development
- I) Status on elections for STG Members
 - i. No proposals for Chair candidates
 - ii. Ole Krarup Leth and Irene Lake stepped down, but provided proposals for new STG members
- m) Discussion on the Chairman report
 - i. Discussion concerning BOOS relationship with HELCOM: how can the relationship be more direct. There was a voice that BOOS should have a representative on HELCOM meetings. The appropriate actions should be discusses during the next STG meeting.

- ii. Discussion on what can BOOS provide to HELCOM no decisions were made.
- 5. EuroGOOS activities presented by Glenn Nolan
 - a) Update on EOOS
 - b) Status of Baltic observations mainly physical variables, not enough bio-chemical observations
 - c) Discussion on EOOS
 - d) Discussion on differences between EMODnet and CMEMS they focus on different activities
- 6. BOOS Modelling Programme summary of national reports presented by Adam Nord
- 7. Copernicus BAL MFC status presented by Vibeke Huess
 - a) Discussion on how can we strengthen relation between BAL MFC and BOOS
 - b) Discussion on how can we increase the use of BAL MFC service
 - c) A decision that we should encourage to use Copernicus products and make core products more visibly through products for end users
- 8. Copernicus INSTAC Baltic status presented by Thomas Hammarklint
 - a) A request to data providers to deliver products more near-real-time.
 - b) A request from users to provide easier access to the data, in particular to make the data available through BOOS web site.
- 9. BOOS OBS Programme Agreement status presented by Jan Reissmann
 - a) The final draft was ready
 - b) Pia Andersson had comments on the agreement and a discussion followed including the following points:
 - i. Questions about voting and decision making
 - ii. Questions about commitments
 - iii. Discussion about possible dictionary form terms from the agreement
 - iv. Discussion on dispute resolution
 - v. Discussion on severability clause
 - vi. General comments on readability
 - c) Pia Andersson was to provide the exact comments
 - d) Decision is made to make the content of the agreement the basis of the agreement and can be sent to lawyers
 - e) Decision is made to start the signing without the programme plan, but the programme plan will be decided on during the next meeting

10. BOOS Newsletter

- a) Question: do we continue with the newsletter or do we stop?
- b) Question: how to divide the work on the newsletter?
- c) Decisions left to the Steering Group

11. BOOS Web

- a) New web page was presented
- b) Request for the members to provide content for the page, especially information on individual member institutions
- c) Question: can the page be already made public?
- d) BSH can host the page
- e) Question: who will be responsible for the page
- f) Comments on the page: the pictures are from North Sea

- g) Decision: comments on the page should be sent to Urmas Lips before end of May
- h) Decision: a group of 4-5 people should be responsible for maintaining the page, with one member from CMEMS

12. New BOOS logo

- a) Presentation and discussion on the new logo
- b) Decision: the blue version of the logo is chosen
- 13. BOOS Strategy 2016-2020 BOOS Vision 2020
 - a) Service development strategy is missing
 - b) BOOS should be more active in the remote sensing field
 - c) A need for input on coastal radars Pia Andersson has some ideas on the subject
 - d) Some work needed on communication and promotion
 - i. There should be a point on cooperation with HELCOM
 - ii. Maybe a point on IECS would be desired
 - iii. Maybe a point on user feedback would be desired
 - e) Research and development maybe this should include more on sea bottom research
 - f) Decision: deadline for suggestions 20.06.2016
 - g) Decision: Steering Group is to compile the document including suggestion sent within the deadline
- 14. Election of BOOS Modelling Programme Manager
 - a) Decision: Pia Andersson elected the BOOS Modelling Programme Manager
- 15. Election of STG members
 - a) Decision: Pia Andersson and Jun She elected new STG members
- 16. Election of Chair
 - a) Urmas Lips is stepping down from the Chair function
 - b) No new candidates for the Chair function
 - c) Decision: Steering Group will elect the new Chair from Steering Group members during the next STG meeting by 20.06.2016.

17. AOB

a) Next BOOS Annual Meeting venue

Decision: DMI will host the next BOOS Annual Meeting

18. Closing of BOOS 2016 AM